

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Atty. Docket No: 60601-CIP(50553)

In re patent application of

FARB, DAVID H. et al.

Serial No. 10/627,498

Filed: July 25, 2003

For: EFFECT OF STEROIDS ON NMDA RECEPTORS DEPENDS ON SUBUNIT COMPOSITION

STATEMENT TO SUPPORT FILING AND SUBMISSION IN
ACCORDANCE WITH 37 C.F.R. §§ 1.821-1.825

Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Mail Stop SEQUENCE

Sir:

In connection with a Sequence Listing submitted concurrently herewith, the undersigned hereby states that:

1. the submission, filed herewith in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(g), does not include new matter;
2. the content of the attached paper copy and the attached computer readable copy of the Sequence Listing, submitted in accordance with 37 C.F.R. § 1.821(c) and (e), respectively, are the same.


Respectfully submitted,

March 22, 2004

Date

[Signature]
James A. Coburn

HARBOR CONSULTING IP SERVICES, INC.
1500A Lafayette Road, #262
Portsmouth, N.H.
800-318-3021



Attorney Docket No. 60601-CIP (50553)

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

APPLICANTS: Farb et al.

U.S.S.N.: 10/627,498 GROUP: Not Yet Assigned

FILED: July 25, 2003 EXAMINER: Not Yet Assigned

FOR: EFFECT OF STEROIDS ON NMDA RECEPTORS DEPENDS ON SUBUNIT COMPOSITION

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING

I hereby certify that this paper (along with any paper referred to as being attached or enclosed) is being deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop: Missing Parts, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on April 26, 2004.

By: Sharon Bizokas
Sharon Bizokas

Mail Stop: Missing Parts
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

SUBMISSION OF "SEQUENCE LISTING," COMPUTER READABLE COPY,
AND/OR AMENDMENT PERTAINING THERETO
FOR BIOTECHNOLOGY INVENTION CONTAINING NUCLEOTIDE
AND/OR AMINO ACID SEQUENCE

(check and complete this item, if applicable)

1. ☐ This replies to the Office Letter DATED October 24, 2003.

☒ A copy of the Office Letter is enclosed.

IDENTIFICATION OF PERSON MAKING STATEMENT

2. I, Kathryn A. Piffat, Ph.D.
(type or print name of person signing below)

state the following:

ITEMS BEING SUBMITTED

3. Submitted herewith is/are:

(check each item as applicable)

- A. ☒ "Sequence Listing(s)" for the nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence(s) in this application. Each "Sequence Listing" is assigned a separate identifier as required in 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(c) and 37 C.F.R. Sections 1.822 and 1.823.
- B. ☒ An amendment to the description and/or claims, wherein reference is made to the sequence by use of the assigned identifier, as required in 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(d).
- C. ☒ A copy of each "Sequence Listing" submitted for this application in computer readable form, in accordance with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. Sections 1.821(e) and 1.824.
- D. ☐ Please transfer to this application, in accordance with 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(e), the computer readable copy(ies) from applicant's other application identified as follows:

In re application of:

Application No.: 0 /

Filed:

For:

Group No.:

Examiner:

The Computer readable form(s) of applicant's other application corresponds to the "Sequence Identifier(s)" of the application as follows:

Computer Readable Form

(other application)

"Sequence Identifier"

(this application)

NOTE: "If the computer readable form of a new application is to be identical with the computer readable form of another application of the applicant on file in the Office, reference maybe made to the other application and computer readable form in lieu of filing a duplicate computer readable form in the new application. The new application shall be accompanied by a letter making such reference to the other application and computer readable form, both of which shall be completely identified." 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(e).

- E. ☒ A statement that the content of each "Sequence Listing" submitted and each computer readable copy are the same, as required in 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(g).
- ☐ Because the statement is not made by a person registered to practice before the Office, the Statement is verified as required in 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(b).

F. ☒ Because this submission is made in fulfilling the requirement under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(g), a statement that the submission includes no new matter.

☐ Because the statement is not made by a person registered to practice before the Office, the statement is verified, as required in 37 C.F.R. Section 1.821(g).

**STATEMENT THAT "SEQUENCE LISTING"
AND COMPUTER READABLE COPY ARE THE SAME
AND/OR THAT PAPERS SUBMITTED INCLUDES NO NEW MATTER**

4. I hereby state:

(complete applicable item A and/or B)

A. ☒ Each computer readable form submitted in this application, including those forms requested to be transferred from applicant's other application, is the same as the "Sequence Listing" to which it is indicated to relate.

B. ☒ All papers accompanying this submission, or for which a request for transfer from applicants' other application, introduce no new matter.

STATUS

5. Applicant is

☒ a small entity.

☐ other than a small entity.

EXTENSION OF TERM

6.

NOTE: "Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) If a timely and complete response has been filed after a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of Dec.10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. Section 1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings and 37 C.F.R. Section 1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

7. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. Section 1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b) as applicable)

- (a) ☐ Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. Section 1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. Section 1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

| Extension (months) | Fee for other than small entity | Fee for small entity |
|---|------------------------------------|-------------------------|
| <input type="checkbox"/> one month | \$ 110.00 | \$ 55.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> two months | \$ 420.00 | \$ 210.00 |
| <input type="checkbox"/> three months | \$ 950.00 | \$ 475.00 |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> four months | \$1,480.00 | \$ 740.00 |

Fee \$ 740.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

- ☐ An extension for _____ months has already been secured, and the fee paid therefor of \$ _____ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.

Extension fee due with this request \$ _____

OR

- (b) ☐ Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition and fee for extension of time.

FEE PAYMENT

8. ☒ Attached is a check in the sum of \$805.00 (including extension fee and total additional fees required)

- ☐ Charge Account No. _____ the sum of \$ _____.
A duplicate of this transmittal is attached.

FEE DEFICIENCY


9.

NOTE: *If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum, six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986, 1065 O.G. 31-33.*

10. [X] If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 04-1105 .

Respectfully submitted,

Date: April 26, 2004



Kathryn A. Piffat, Ph.D. (Reg. No. 34,901)

Intellectual Property Practice Group of

EDWARDS & ANGELL, LLP

P.O. Box 55874

Boston, MA 02205

(617) 439-4444

Customer No. 21874

BOS2_442445.1